inary Hypothesis Test ০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০ Optimal Receiver Frontend

Message Sequences

Introduction

- We have focused on the problem of deciding which of two possible signals has been transmitted.
 - Binary Signal Sets
- We will generalize the design of optimum (MPE) receivers to signal sets with *M* signals.
 - ► *M*-ary signal sets.
- With binary signal sets one bit can be transmitted in each signal period T.
- With *M*-ary signal sets, log₂(*M*) bits are transmitted simultaneously per *T* seconds.
 - Example (M = 4):

$$\begin{array}{ll} 00 \rightarrow \textit{s}_{0}(t) & 01 \rightarrow \textit{s}_{1}(t) \\ 10 \rightarrow \textit{s}_{2}(t) & 11 \rightarrow \textit{s}_{3}(t) \end{array}$$



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing 00000000 000000000 00000000	Optimal Receiver Frontend oo ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo	<i>M</i> -ary Signal Sets o● ○○○○○○○○	Message Sequences ooo oo oooooo
		0000000	00000000	0000000

M-ary Hypothesis Testing Problem

We can formulate the optimum receiver design problem as a hypothesis testing problem:

$$H_0: R_t = s_0(t) + N_t$$

 $H_1: R_t = s_1(t) + N_t$

$$H_{M-1}: R_t = s_{M-1}(t) + N_t$$

with a priori probabilities $\pi_i = \Pr\{H_i\}, i = 0, 1, ..., M - 1$. Note:

- With more than two hypotheses, it is no longer helpful to consider the (likelihood) ratio of pdfs.
- Instead, we focus on the hypothesis with the maximum a posteriori (MAP) probability or the maximum likelihood (ML).



Optimal Receiver Frontend

M-ary Signal Sets

00000000

Message Sequences

AWGN Channels

- Of most interest in communications are channels where N_t is a white Gaussian noise process.
 - Spectral height $\frac{N_0}{2}$.
- For these channels, the optimum receivers can be found by arguments completely analogous to those for the binary case.
 - Note that with *M*-ary signal sets, the subspace containing all signals will have up to *M* dimensions.
- We will determine the optimum receivers by generalizing the optimum binary receivers for AWGN channels.



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	M-ary Signal Sets	Message Sequences
00000	0000000	00	00	000
00000000	00000000	000000000000	0000000	00
	0000000	00000000	0000000000	000000
		0000000	0000000	0000000
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	

Starting Point: Binary MPE Decision Rule

- We have shown, that the binary MPE decision rule can be expressed equivalently as
 - ► either

$$\langle R_t, (s_1(t) - s_0(t)) \rangle \stackrel{H_1}{\geq} \frac{N_0}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\pi_0}{\pi_1}\right) + \frac{\|s_1(t)\|^2 - \|s_0(t)\|^2}{2}$$

► or

$$\|R_t - s_0(t)\|^2 - N_0 \ln(\pi_0) \overset{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\gtrless}} \|R_t - s_1(t)\|^2 - N_0 \ln(\pi_1)$$

- The first expression is most useful for deriving the structure of the optimum receiver.
- The second form is helpful for interpreting the decision rule in signal space.



inary Hypothesis Testing ০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০ Optimal Receiver Frontend

>0 >0000000000000 >00000000 >0000000 M-ary Signal Sets

00000000 0000000000 00000000 00000000

Message Sequences

M-ary MPE Receiver

The decision rule

$$\langle R_t, (s_1(t) - s_0(t)) \rangle \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\geq}} \frac{N_0}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\pi_0}{\pi_1}\right) + \frac{\|s_1(t)\|^2 - \|s_0(t)\|^2}{2}$$

can be rewritten as

$$Z_{1} = \langle R_{t}, s_{1}(t) \rangle + \underbrace{\frac{N_{0}}{2} \ln(\pi_{1}) - \frac{\|s_{1}(t)\|^{2}}{2}}_{\langle R_{t}, s_{0}(t) \rangle + \underbrace{\frac{N_{0}}{2} \ln(\pi_{0}) - \frac{\|s_{0}(t)\|^{2}}{2}}_{\gamma_{0}} = Z_{0}$$

UNIVERS

A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing ০০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০০	Optimal Receiver Frontend oo ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo	<i>M</i> -ary Signal Sets 00 000●0000 0000000000000000000000000	Message Sequences ooo oo oooooo ooooooo
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	

M-ary MPE Receiver

► The decision rule is easily generalized to *M* signals:

$$\hat{m} = \arg \max_{n=0,\dots,M-1} \left\langle R_t, s_n(t) \right\rangle + \underbrace{\frac{N_0}{2} \ln(\pi_n) - \frac{\|s_n(t)\|^2}{2}}_{\gamma_n}$$

• The optimum detector selects the hypothesis with the largest decision statistic Z_n .



nary Hypothesis Testing 2000000 20000000 2000000 Optimal Receiver Frontend

Message Sequences

M-ary MPE Receiver

- The bias terms γ_n account for unequal priors and for differences in signal energy $E_n = ||s_n(t)||^2$.
- Common terms can be omitted
 - For equally likely signals,

$$\gamma_n = -\frac{\|\boldsymbol{s}_n(t)\|^2}{2}.$$

For equal energy signals,

$$\gamma_n = \frac{N_0}{2} \ln(\pi_n)$$

For equally likely, equal energy signal,

$$\gamma_n = 0$$



inary Hypothesis Testing ০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০

Optimal Receiver Frontend

00 00000000000000 00000000 0000000 M-ary Signal Sets

Message Sequences

M-ary MPE Receiver

M-ary Correlator Receiver Z_0 \int_0^T dt γ_0 $s_0(t)$ R_{t} ĥ arg max Z_{M-} \int_0^T dt $s_{M-1}(t)$ γ_{M-1}

A	Simple	Example	
	0000		
	00000	000	

Optimal Receiver Frontend

M-ary Signal Sets

Message Sequences

Decision Statistics

The optimum receiver computes the decision statistics

$$Z_n = \langle R_t, s_n(t) \rangle + \frac{N_0}{2} \ln(\pi_n) - \frac{\|s_n(t)\|^2}{2}$$

- Conditioned on the *m*-th signal having been transmitted,
 - All Z_n are Gaussian random variables.
 - Expected value:

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_n|H_m] = \langle s_m(t), s_n(t) \rangle + \frac{N_0}{2} \ln(\pi_n) - \frac{\|s_n(t)\|^2}{2}$$

► (Co)Variance:

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_j Z_k | H_m] - \mathbf{E}[Z_j | H_m] \mathbf{E}[Z_k | H_m] = \langle \mathbf{s}_j(t), \mathbf{s}_k(t) \rangle \frac{N_0}{2}$$



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend oo ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo	<i>M</i> -ary Signal Sets ○○ ○○○○○○○○ ○○○○○○○○○ ○○○○○○○○○	Message Sequences 000 000000 000000
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	

Exercise: QPSK Receiver

Find the optimum receiver for the following signal set with M = 4 signals:

$$s_n(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{T}} \cos(2\pi t/T + n\pi/2)$$
 for $0 \le t \le T$ and $n = 0, \dots,$



A Simple Example Binary Hypothesis Testing Optimal Receiver Frontend Optimal Receiver Frontend Optimal Receiver Frontend Optimal Sets O

Decision Regions

• The decision regions Γ_n and error probabilities are best understood by generalizing the binary decision rule:

$$\|R_t - s_0(t)\|^2 - N_0 \ln(\pi_0) \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\geq}} \|R_t - s_1(t)\|^2 - N_0 \ln(\pi_1)$$

► For *M*-ary signal sets, the decision rule generalizes to

$$\hat{m} = \arg \min_{n=0,...,M-1} \|R_t - s_n(t)\|^2 - N_0 \ln(\pi_n).$$

This simplifies to

$$\hat{m} = \arg\min_{n=0,...,M-1} \|R_t - s_n(t)\|^2$$

for equally likely signals.

The optimum receiver decides in favor of the signal s_n(t) that is *closest* to the received signal.



A Simple Example Binary Hypothesis Testing Optimal Receiver Frontend Optimal Sets Message Sequences

Decision Regions (equally likely signals)

- ► For discussing decision regions, it is best to express the decision rule in terms of the representation obtained with the orthonormal basis {Φ_k}, where
 - ▶ basis signals Φ_k span the space that contains all signals $s_n(t)$, with n = 0, ..., M 1.
 - Recall that we can obtain these basis signals via the Gram-Schmidt procedure from the signal set.
 - ► There are at most *M* orthonormal bases.
- Because of Parseval's relationship, an equivalent decision rule is

$$\hat{m} = \arg \min_{n=0,...,M-1} \|\vec{R} - \vec{s}_n\|^2,$$

where \vec{R} has elements $R_k = \langle R_t, \Phi_k(t) \rangle$ and \vec{s}_n has element $s_{n,k} = \langle s_n(t), \Phi_k(t) \rangle$.



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	M-ary Signal Sets	Message Sequences
00000	0000000	00	00	000
00000000	00000000	000000000000	0000000	00
	0000000	00000000	000000000	000000
		000000	0000000	000000
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	

Decision Regions

The decision region Γ_n where the detector decides that the n-th signal was sent is

$$\Gamma_n = \{ \vec{r} : \| \vec{r} - \vec{s}_n \| < \| \vec{r} - \vec{s}_m \| \text{ for all } m \neq n \}.$$

- The decision region Γ_n is the set of all points \vec{r} that are closer to \vec{s}_n than to any other signal point.
- The decision regions are formed by linear segments that are perpendicular bisectors between pairs of signal points.
 - The resulting partition is also called a Voronoi partition.



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	M-ary Signal Sets	Message Sequences
00000 000000000	00000000 00000000 00000000	00 000000000000 00000000 0000000	00 0000000 00000000 00000000 000000000	000 00 000000 0000000

Example: QPSK



 $s_n(t) = \sqrt{2/T} \cos(2\pi f_c t + n \cdot \pi/2 + \pi/4)$, for n = 0, ..., 3.



Optimal Receiver Frontend

0 00000000000000 00000000 0000000 *M*-ary Signal Sets

Message Sequences oo oo oooooo oooooo

Example: 8-PSK



$$s_n(t) = \sqrt{2/T} \cos(2\pi f_c t + n \cdot \pi/4)$$
, for $n = 0, ..., 7$.



Optimal Receiver Frontend

00 00000000000000 000000000 0000000 M-ary Signal Sets

Message Sequences oo oo oooooo oooooo

Example: 16-QAM



$$s_n(t) = \sqrt{2/T} (A_I \cdot \cos(2\pi f_c t) + A_Q \cdot \sin(2\pi f_c t))$$

with $A_I, A_Q \in \{-3, -1, 1, 3\}.$



Binary Hypothesis Testir ০০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০০ ০০০০০০০০ Message Sequences

Symbol Energy and Bit Energy

- We have seen that error probabilities decrease when the signal energy increases.
 - Because the distance between signals increase.
- We will see further that error rates in AWGN channels depend only on
 - the signal-to-noise ratio $\frac{E_b}{N_0}$, where E_b is the average energy per bit, and
 - the geometry of the signal constellation.
- To focus on the impact of the signal geometry, we will fix either
 - the average energy per symbol $E_s = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} ||s_n(t)||^2$ or
 - the average energy per bit $E_b = \frac{E_s}{\log_2(M)}$



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend oo ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo	<i>M</i> -ary Signal Sets ○○ ○○○○○○○○ ○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○	Message Sequences
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	

Example: QPSK

QPSK signals are given by

$$s_n(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2E_s}{T}} \cos(2\pi f_c t + n \cdot \pi/2 + \pi/4)$$
, for $n = 0, ..., 3$.

• Each of the four signals $s_n(t)$ has energy

$$E_n = \|\boldsymbol{s}_n(t)\|^2 = E_s.$$

► Hence,

- the average symbol energy is E_s
 the average bit energy is E_b = E_s/log₂(4) = E_s/2



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	<i>M</i> -ary Signal Sets	Message Sequences
	00000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000 0000000

Example: 8-PSK

8-PSK signals are given by

$$s_n(t) = \sqrt{2E_s/T} \cos(2\pi f_c t + n \cdot \pi/4)$$
, for $n = 0, ..., 7$.

• Each of the eight signals $s_n(t)$ has energy

$$E_n = \|\boldsymbol{s}_n(t)\|^2 = E_s.$$

- the average symbol energy is E_s
 the average bit energy is E_b = E_s/log₂(8) = E_s/3



Optimal Receiver Frontend

M-ary Signal Sets

Message Sequences oo oo oooooo ooooooo

Example: 16-QAM

16-QAM signals can be written as

$$s_n(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2E_0}{T}} \left(a_I \cdot \cos(2\pi f_c t) + a_Q \cdot \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right)$$

with $a_I, a_Q \in \{-3, -1, 1, 3\}$.

- There are
 - 4 signals with energy $(1^2 + 1^2)E_0 = 2E_0$
 - ▶ 8 signals with energy $(3^2 + 1^2)E_0 = 10E_0$
 - 4 signals with energy $((3^2 + 3^2)E_0 = 18E_0)$
- Hence,
 - the average symbol energy is $10E_0$
 - the average bit energy is $E_b = \frac{E_s}{\log_2(16)} = \frac{5E_0}{2}$



A Simple Example Binary Hypothesis Testing Optimal Receiver Frontend Optimal Receiver Frontend M-ary Signal Sets Message Sequences

Energy Efficiency

We will see that the influence of the signal geometry is captured by the energy efficiency

$$\eta_P = rac{d_{\min}^2}{E_b}$$

where d_{\min} is the smallest distance between any pair of signals in the constellation.

Examples:

- **QPSK:** $d_{\min} = \sqrt{2E_s}$ and $E_b = \frac{E_s}{2}$, thus $\eta_P = 4$. • **8-PSK:** $d_{\min} = \sqrt{(2 - \sqrt{2})E_s}$ and $E_b = \frac{E_s}{3}$, thus $\eta_P = 3 \cdot (2 - \sqrt{2}) \approx 1.75$.
- 16-QAM: $d_{\min} = \sqrt{2E_0}$ and $E_b = \frac{5E_0}{2}$, thus $\eta_P = \frac{8}{5}$.
- Note that energy efficiency decreases with the size of the constellation for 2-dimensional constellations.



inary Hypothesis Testin ooooooo oooooooo ooooooo Optimal Receiver Frontend

M-ary Signal Sets

Message Sequences oo oo oooooo ooooooo

Computing Probability of Symbol Error

- When decision boundaries intersect at right angles, then it is possible to compute the error probability exactly in closed form.
 - The result will be in terms of the Q-function.
 - This happens whenever the signal points form a rectangular grid in signal space.
 - Examples: QPSK and 16-QAM
- When decision regions are not rectangular, then closed form expressions are not available.
 - Computation requires integrals over the Q-function.
 - We will derive good bounds on the error rate for these cases.
 - For exact results, numerical integration is required.



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	M-ary Signal Sets	Message Sequences
00000 000000000	00000000 00000000 00000000	00 000000000000 00000000 0000000	00 0000000 000000000 0000000	000 00 000000 000000

Illustration: 2-dimensional Rectangle

- ► Assume that the *n*-th signal was transmitted and that the representation for this signal is $\vec{s}_n = (s_{n,0}, s_{n,1})'$.
- Assume that the decision region Γ_n is a rectangle

$$\Gamma_n = \{ \vec{r} = (r_0, r_1)' : s_{n,0} - a_1 < r_0 < s_{n,0} + a_2 \text{ and} \\ s_{n,1} - b_1 < r_1 < s_{n,1} + b_2 \}.$$

- Note: we have assumed that the sides of the rectangle are parallel to the axes in signal space.
- Since rotation and translation of signal space do not affect distances this can be done without affecting the error probability.
- **Question:** What is the conditional error probability, assuming that $s_n(t)$ was sent.



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	<i>M</i> -ary Signal Sets	Message Sequences
000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000 0000000000	000 00 000000
		0000000	0000000 000000000000000000000000000000	000000

Illustration: 2-dimensional Rectangle

▶ In terms of the random variables $R_k = \langle R_t, \Phi_k \rangle$, with k = 0, 1, an error occurs if

error event 1

$$(R_0 \leq s_{n,0} - a_1 \text{ or } R_0 \geq s_{n,0} + a_2)$$
 or
 $(R_1 \leq s_{n,1} - b_1 \text{ or } R_1 \geq s_{n,1} + b_2)$.
error event 2

- Note that the two error events are not mutually exclusive.
- ► Therefore, it is better to consider correct decisions instead, i.e., *R* ∈ Γ_n:

$$s_{n,0} - a_1 < R_0 < s_{n,0} + a_2$$
 and $s_{n,1} - b_1 < R_1 < s_{n,1} + b_2$

A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	M-ary Signal Sets
00000	0000000	00	00
00000000	00000000	000000000000	0000000
	0000000	00000000	00000000000
		000000	0000000

 M-ary Signal Sets
 Message Sequences

 00
 000

 00000000
 00

 000000000
 000000

 00000000
 000000

 00000000
 000000

Illustration: 2-dimensional Rectangle

- We know that R_0 and R_1 are
 - independent because Φ_k are orthogonal
 - with means $s_{n,0}$ and $s_{n,1}$, respectively
 - variance $\frac{N_0}{2}$.
- Hence, the probability of a correct decision is

$$Pr\{c|s_n\} = Pr\{-a_1 < N_0 < a_2\} \cdot Pr\{-b_1 < N_1 < b_2\}$$
$$= \int_{-a_1}^{a_2} p_{R_0|s_n}(r_0) dr_0 \cdot \int_{-b_1}^{b_2} p_{R_1|s_n}(r_1) dr_1$$
$$= (1 - Q\left(\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) - Q\left(\frac{a_2}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)) \cdot (1 - Q\left(\frac{b_1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) - Q\left(\frac{b_2}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)).$$



 A Simple Example
 Binary Hypothesis Testing
 Optimal Receiver Frontend
 M-a

 00000
 00000000
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00

M-ary Signal Sets

Message Sequences

Exercise: QPSK

Find the error rate for the signal set

 $s_n(t) = \sqrt{2E_s/T} \cos(2\pi f_c t + n \cdot \pi/2 + \pi/4)$, for n = 0, ..., 3.

• **Answer:** (Recall $\eta_P = \frac{d_{\min}^2}{E_b} = 4$ for QPSK)

$$\Pr\{e\} = 2Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N_0}}\right) - Q^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N_0}}\right)$$
$$= 2Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}}\right) - Q^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}}\right)$$
$$= 2Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{\eta_P E_b}{2N_0}}\right) - Q^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{\eta_P E_b}{2N_0}}\right)$$



A Simple Example	Binary Hypothesis Testing	Optimal Receiver Frontend	M-ary Signal Sets	Message Sequences
00000 000000000	00000000 00000000 00000000	00 000000000000 00000000 0000000	00 0000000 00000000 00000000 000000000	000 00 000000 0000000

Exercise: 16-QAM
(Recall
$$\eta_P = \frac{d_{Ein}^2}{E_b} = \frac{8}{5}$$
 for 16-QAM)
• Find the error rate for the signal set
 $(a_I, a_Q \in \{-3, -1, 1, 3\})$
 $s_n(t) = \sqrt{2E_0/T}a_I \cdot \cos(2\pi f_c t) + \sqrt{2E_0/T}a_Q \cdot \sin(2\pi f_c t)$
• Answer: $(\eta_P = \frac{d_{Pin}^2}{E_b} = 4)$
 $\Pr\{e\} = 3Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{2E_0}{N_0}}\right) - \frac{9}{4}Q^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{2E_0}{N_0}}\right)$
 $= 3Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{4E_b}{5N_0}}\right) - \frac{9}{4}Q^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{4E_b}{5N_0}}\right)$
 $= 3Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{\eta_P E_b}{2N_0}}\right) - \frac{9}{4}Q^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{\eta_P E_b}{2N_0}}\right).$

© 2018, B.-P. Paris